CBR Communiqué

Directors Gregg & Lois Cunningham and daughters

Center for Bio-Ethical Reform

April 2021

Ethically Sourced Vaccines are Needed

CBR neither supports nor opposes the taking of any of the three types of COVID vaccine (mRNA, protein subunit, or vector based) unless it has been designed, produced, or tested using aborted fetal cells, in which case we oppose it. We have, however, consulted with pro-life physicians who have numerous concerns, particularly regarding the safety and potential long-term effects of these experimental mRNA COVID vaccines currently available in the U.S.

Although studies of these COVID-19 vaccines have declared them to be safe as regards their potential to produce dangerous short-term side effects, their potential to produce long-term problems remains an open question. Vaccines of other types have traditionally been studied for years to determine long-term safety before general public distribution, but COVID-19 vaccines have been rushed to market in little more than one year under emergency authorization. Those taking any of these vaccines in the near term are, therefore, participating in a research study of the vaccine's potential to produce injurious long-term side effects.

CBR's concerns are with vaccines which have been developed using aborted fetal cell lines, including MMR, hepatitis A, chicken pox and shingles. Vaccine Excipient Summary-Excipients Included in U.S. Vaccines by Vaccine-February 2020 (cdc.gov)

The following are the aborted babies whose cell lines are used to make some vaccines:

WI-38 - Human diploid lung fibroblast from a 12-week aborted baby girl, Sweden, 1962

MRC-5 - Lung tissue taken from a 14-week male baby aborted for a psychiatric reason from a 27-year-old physically healthy woman, September 1966

HEK 293* – Kidney tissue from an aborted baby girl, Netherlands, 1972

Walvax 2 - Human diploid lung tissue from a 3-month aborted baby, China, 2015

PER C 6 - Retina from a healthy 18-week aborted baby, Netherlands, 1985



* This means 293 experiments took place before this line was made. Hundreds of babies died to make this cell line.

Thankfully, there are alternative vaccinations. We refer you to these charts from Children of God for Life: VaccineListOrigFormat.pdf (cogforlife.org) and fetalproductsall.pdf (cogforlife.org)

The purpose of reprinting the following letter which CBR Executive Director Gregg Cunningham sent to The Charlotte Lozier Institute is to inform you of our research and recommendation that people only accept an ethically sourced vaccine, meaning one that was not developed through the use of aborted fetal cell lines nor tested on aborted fetal cells.

Dear Dr. Prentice,

We are fans (and avid consumers) of your important work, and considering your likely workload, we don't wish to unnecessarily burden you; but if we may, I would like to pose some vexing questions:

Safety and effectiveness are obviously primary criteria in evaluating vaccines, but we also share your commitment to encouraging the development of vaccines which are also ethically derived. The vaccine status chart on your website at https://bit.ly/3xdx6kU leads us to the conclusion that the Chinese candidates are all green-flagged for ethical origins as regards the categories "Design/Development," "Production," and "Confirmatory Lab Testing." These determinations

VACCINES (Cont. from page 1)

are potentially interesting but we have reason to be deeply skeptical about any claims the Chinese government makes regarding any issues of any sort.

That leaves us, for instance, with The John Paul Institute, which is apparently still very early in their vaccine work, but which will assumedly be green-flagged in all three of the above-mentioned categories. Can you suggest any reliable estimate for the availability of their vaccine in the U.S., and will it be vector based or mRNA?

Then you list an Israeli vaccine as fully green-flagged and vector based, but can you estimate the likelihood of its being available in the U.S., and if so, approximately when?

Then there is the U.S. Sorrento vaccine which is totally green-flagged and also vector based, but on which work apparently might be suspended if other manufacturers seem poised to beat Sorrento to market with adequately safe and effective alternatives. Are you aware of where this manufacturer is in deciding whether to stop or continue their work?

You then list a German vaccine which is green-flagged, but it is mRNA, concerning which we have safety concerns relative to more conventional vector-based inoculants.

A Korean vaccine on your chart is green-flagged, appears to be vector based, and a web search suggests that it may be available late in 2021. Are we likely to see it in the U.S.?

The Japanese product, apparently associated with Osaka University, is green-flagged, vector based, and a web search projects its availability sometime this summer. Are you aware of whether it will be distributed in the U.S.?

Finally, you list the Zydus Indian vaccine, which seems to be ethically derived and vector based, but will it be available in the U.S. and when, and do you have quality control concerns about medicines produced in India?

These issues are of concern to us not least because we believe that the ethical course for people of conscience and/or faith is to delay receipt of any vaccine until we can access one concerning which there are no arguable moral issues. As you are aware, Christian opinion leaders on both sides of the Reformation are making "ends justify the means" arguments to trivialize the abortion connection with vaccines, actually suggesting a moral obligation to subordinate the abortion issue to accommodate the public health interest in controlling the pandemic. Some also contend that the ethical approach is for members of lower risk demographic groups to delay vaccination until higher risk people are protected. If delay on those grounds is justified, why might there not also be a compelling justification to await the availability of an ethically derived vaccine?

It may seem to some that we are being inordinately conspiratorial, but we suspect that there is no coincidence in the fact that only mRNA vaccines with abortion connections are being made available in the U.S. Why didn't the Trump administration promote the development of at least one ethically derived vaccine? With Planned Parenthood incontestably marketing aborted embryonic and fetal tissue to medical research labs, might the medical establishment be attempting to soften public opposition to abortion involvement in the development of new vaccines and therapeutics that is even more direct and open, effectively holding us hostage with a "this or nothing" choice on vaccines?

Despite universally acknowledged safety concerns with certain vaccines, the coercive drive for vaccine acceptance as a means of achieving "herd immunity" (along with natural infection) has become so draconian here and abroad that people of conscience and/or faith would appear to have some bargaining power if they acted in large enough numbers in a coordinated refusal to accept any vaccine until one is offered without questionable sourcing.

This method (refusal) of achieving that goal (safe, effective, ethically derived vaccines) will be difficult to achieve with so many Christian leaders bowing their knees to the CDC, but an even greater barrier to concerted resistance might be the absence of reliable information on how long and for which products people of conscience and/or faith should expect to wait. There are obviously many imponderables at work here but to the best of your considerable ability, would you be willing to give us some advice on these issues?

We thank God for your leadership on these vital issues.

Lord bless,
Gregg Cunningham

Our team held signs in the free speech zone in front of Hayden Library at ASU in Arizona.

Netherlands Bus Stops Feature CBR Photo of Living Human Embryo

This was an offer CBR-Netherlands (Abortusinfomatie.nl) could not refuse -- a new donor offered to pay for an expansive "advertising" campaign. Founder Ms. van der Wende selected the CBR photo of a living 6-week preborn baby to be featured on the 27 educational signs that were mounted at bus stop shelters, the metro and other sign locations in 11 Dutch cities from February 22 to March 17, 2021.

The beautiful photo signs prompted three formal complaints to the Dutch advertising commission, forcing Ms. van der Wende to respond to them in writing and in court. CBR Executive Director Gregg Cunningham reviewed the advertising regulations and determined none of it applies to CBR-Netherlands' educational campaign, so this is harassment.

A major socialist magazine, *de Groene Amsterdammer*, ran a lengthy "investigative journalism" article on the pro-life movement in the Netherlands. The article included an interview with Ms. van der Wende, identifying her as the person who brought "abortion pill reversal" to the Netherlands. CBR-Netherlands and other Dutch pro-life groups are growing in their effectiveness; the pro-abortion article lamented: "… Laws that in the Netherlands gently but steadily gnaw at women's right to self-determination and push the right of the fetus higher up the political agenda. And we encountered an almost underground battle being waged to get women to cancel their abortions [reverse their abortion pill process]. Christian activists can count on foreign funds, politically trained strategists, an army of volunteers and an international network of lawyers." https://bit.ly/3asLiMX (Readers can select English translation.)

It seems this magazine has not heard about Gideon and his army.

de Groene Amsterdammer ***ran a lengthy "investigative journalism" article and included a picture of this Amsterdam Leidseplein bus stop with CBR's stunning 6-week baby photo.*** Printed with permission.

We are blessed by your faithful support in this spiritual battle.

"Do not pervert justice…" LEVITICUS *19:15*

Prayer Requests

- Protection for CBR-Netherlands and all CBR international affiliates
- College campuses to open up for GAP displays
- Pray for pastors to lead their flocks to stand for the sanctity of human life

Events

Outreach at Abortion Mills

April 10	Planned Parenthood, Mission Viejo, CA

Urban Genocide Awareness Project

April 12	Maryvale High School & major intersection, Phoenix, AZ
April 13	Ironwood High School, Glendale & intersection, Phoenix, AZ
April 12-13	University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN
April 14	Westwood High School & Arizona State University (ASU), Mesa, AZ
April 14	Outside Shriners Hospital & Univ. of Kentucky Medical Center, Lexington, KY
April 15	Washington High School, Phoenix and ASU, AZ
April 15	Outside University of Kentucky Student Union, Lexington, KY
April 19-21	Near Vanderbilt & Belmont Universities, Nashville, TN
April 22	Downtown Nashville, TN

Center for Bio-Ethical Reform

PO Box 219
Lake Forest, CA 92609-0219

NON-PROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
PERMIT NO. 298
SANTA CLARITA, CA

"What have I done? I made my girlfriend get an abortion two weeks ago. What am I going to do?"

Kennesaw State student who saw the photographic truth of abortion at GAP

This CBR truck was photographed on April 14 at the intersection of University Dr. and College Ave. and the sign educated the ASU community about the abortion pill. On April 12, a woman came up, quite moved, as she had prayed that God would show her Christians who are being bold. We took her contact information so she can join us as a volunteer. On April 13, a passerby informed us he wanted his wife to abort twice when she became pregnant. She refused and their daughters are now teenagers. When he saw our signs, he thought about what would have happened if he'd gotten his way. He can't imagine not having his daughters.

Address corrections
addresschanges@cbrinfo.org

Electronic funds donations
ACH@cbrinfo.org

Credit card donations
creditcards@cbrinfo.org

Product order inquiries
productorders@cbrinfo.org

Estate donations
plannedgiving@cbrinfo.org

INSIDE THIS EDITION...

☑ **CBR-Netherlands Founder brought abortion pill reversal to the Netherlands**

☑ **Vaccines made using aborted fetal cell lines**

☑ **MRC-5 cell line is from lung tissue taken from a 14-week male human fetus**