

Gregg L. Cunningham, Executive Director

August 2012

Dear Pro-Life Friend,

On August 25, 2012, a forty-one-year-old man from Orange, CA, wrote us to say: “I love that you tell the truth about abortion and show the images of what it’s really all about. Your stance is strong and to the point. I feel the same way. People need to see the reality of it.” Indeed they do. One such person is the seventeen-year-old girl from Amherst, NY, who wrote on August 28, 2012, that “I would never want to have another abortion, especially when the baby has bones and visible hands/body parts.” Although my first one was immediately after conception, if the baby had developed into anything remotely like a child I would never abort him/her.” It is very unlikely that her abortion followed so “immediately after conception” as to have killed a baby that had not yet “developed into anything remotely like a child.” A heartbeat eighteen to twenty-one days following fertilization is more than “remotely” like a child. But fanaticizing that her baby was not yet quite human apparently helped her rationalize her abortion well enough to deal with it emotionally. Dehumanizing one’s victims is a common coping mechanism among perpetrators of injustice.

The May 20, 2012 *Orange County Register* (California) published a column headlined “Britain’s child-sex rings” with a sub-headline which revealed a “Pattern emerging of Pakistani Britons grooming [underage] white girls for sex” The essay rails against the idiotic political correctness which is inhibiting prosecution of such cases and reported that lead prosecutor Nazir Afzal “... stated that these men view young white girls as ‘lesser beings.’” The children at issue ranged in age from twelve to sixteen and the men were both abusing and prostituting them.

Two donors have pledged \$75,000 in a matching gift program for our Key States Initiative. Will you help us reach that goal so we can keep our trucks continually running through the election? If so, please note “KSI matching gift” when you make your donation.

One particularly contemptuous perpetrator mocked a young victim as “white trash.” A presiding judge said these criminals regarded their victims as “something less valuable.” The story also notes that “... many of the ... defendants showed little shame during the trial.” Their perception of these victimized children as in some sense subhuman closely mirrors the British Pregnancy Advisory Service (BPAS) practice of dehumanizing the preborn babies they torture to death, with utter disregard for the fact that prematurely born infants of the same age are clinically documented as capable of feeling pain. BPAS is the British counterpart to Planned Parenthood in America; and its president, Ann Furedi, is, of course, utterly indifferent to the fetal pain with which she tortures her victims.

Spiked-Online.com, March 8, 2001, published an article co-authored by Ms. Furedi which was titled “The case for ‘late’ abortion.” She said of fetal pain: “It is ... extremely unfortunate that a discussion about best clinical practice for new-born babies has led to a debate, based on the notion that a fetus can feel pain, about the ‘problem’ of late abortion.”

And Ms. Furedi’s lack of empathy isn’t limited to the issue of pain. On March 2, 2012, she and medical director Patricia Lohr (the American abortionist she recruited to Americanize baby-killing in Britain), et al., signed an open letter defending sex-selection abortions. It was titled “Open letter of support for doctors who provide abortion services.” It quotes a chief medical officer statement which explains that “Sex

selection is not one of the lawful grounds for termination. It is illegal for a practitioner to carry out an abortion for that reason alone, unless the certifying practitioners consider that an abortion was justified in relation to at least one of the Section 1(1) grounds.”

The pivotal language of Section 1 of the U.K. Abortion Act of 1967 says: “Subject to the provisions of this section, a person shall not be guilty of an offence under the law relating to abortion when a pregnancy is terminated by a registered medical practitioner if two registered medical practitioners are of the opinion, formed in good faith (a) that the pregnancy has not exceeded its twenty-fourth week and that the continuance of the pregnancy would involve risk, greater than if the pregnancy were terminated, of injury to the physical or mental health of the pregnant woman or any existing children of her family”

What does “mental health” mean? Anything any abortionist wishes it to mean. What it actually means is that the drafters of the U.K.’s abortion law wished to create the superficial impression that their code actually regulated abortion -- but with a “wink and a nod,” they also wanted to make certain that no abortion would ever actually be regulated.

Please visit the sidebar on the homepage at abortionNO.org and click on the “Archives” link to forward a digital copy of this letter to friends and family. We need your help to expose abortion industry corruption.

As if to emphasize just how meaningless that term “mental health” actually is in this context, Ms. Furedi and friends argue that “Under the 1967 Abortion Act, it is the effect of the pregnancy on a woman’s health, mental health and life that must be taken into account to determine whether or not she has grounds for abortion.” They go on to contend that “In making this judgment, doctors are directed by the law to take into account the woman’s personal circumstances. These include, for example, her age, her being unemployed or on low pay, or trying to complete her education, or being single, or having other small children to care for, or feeling strongly that she simply cannot cope with a baby (or another baby) at this particular time because of the negative impact it would have on her life, or because she has fears about the outcome and/or life chances of the child if it were born.”

Note Ms. Furedi’s attempt to conceal the fact that in the United Kingdom, abortion is out of control. Sex-selection abortion is not lawful grounds for termination -- unless the mother also says she “cannot cope” with a baby of the undesired gender. That would be a mental health justification for termination! So in Ms. Furedi’s economy, the weasel word “cope” licenses killing for any or all additional reasons, no matter how frivolous. The requirement that doctors must objectively evaluate a mother’s eligibility to abort is a cruel hoax, and Ms. Furedi effectively admits it when she calls for the abandonment of this bogus requirement (already widely circumvented by doctors who not only “rubber stamp” authorizations but also “rubber stamp” them in blank).

She effectively acknowledges that women are and should be getting any abortion they wish (up to 24 weeks, which limit Ms. Furedi would also eliminate). So the magic words “she simply cannot cope with a baby” expose the fraud which is the BPAS interpretation of the “mental health” loophole in the very porous Abortion Act of 1967. Never mind that no mother has to “cope with a baby” if she places it for adoption. No wonder Ms. Furedi wants to end the fiction of this elaborate charade and just get on with the killing.

American abortion law, however, is every bit as corrupt as Britain’s. Our officials once feigned a similar civility, when we cared more about quaint appearances. *Time Magazine*, December 25, 1964, “Medicine: Abortion, Legal & Illegal,” published a related article which said: “The law in virtually all 50 states declares that a therapeutic abortion is permissible only to save the mother’s life. In some hospitals, doctors construe this liberally and do an abortion if the woman threatens suicide”

As I have noted elsewhere, a May 2010 *Washington Post*-ABC poll found that 60% of Americans support the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Roe v. Wade*, whose holding the press consistently misreports as legalizing abortion only in the first three months of pregnancy. It started on January 22, 1973, the day the decision was handed down and *The New York Times* published a front page banner headline which read "High Court Rules Abortions Legal the First 3 Months." The still little-known fact is that *Roe*, in conjunction with its companion decision, *Doe v. Bolton*, decided the same day, legalized abortion through all nine months of pregnancy for any reason at all. But Justice Harry Blackmun was dishonest enough to intentionally divide the decision between the two cases so its full significance could not be understood without reading both. *Roe* said abortion couldn't ever be prohibited when the pregnancy sought to be terminated threatened a woman's health. *Doe* said health meant "all factors -- physical, emotional, psychological, familial, and the woman's age -- relevant to the wellbeing of the patient."

The definition's inclusion of concepts such as "emotional wellbeing" meant that no abortion could ever be stopped so long as *Roe* remained the law of the land. Unwanted pregnancies are, of course, by definition, emotionally upsetting and therefore, under *Roe*, beyond regulation. So why play these kinds of disingenuous games? Why pretend to follow some carefully crafted regulatory framework which merely masks open season on preborn children? Because anarchy, and the barbarism it breeds, generally embarrasses even anarchistic, barbaric societies.

Please consider a \$120 donation to fund the purchase of one day's diesel fuel for one of our voter education billboard trucks operating in CO, IA, VA, FL and WI

One of the more insightful histories of British slavery, titled *Bury the Chains*, by Adam Hochschild, and published by Mariner Books (2005), describes this nearly universal tendency of tyrants to paper over their tyranny with an artifice which suggests "due process of law" where the rule of law is actually nowhere to be found. Aspiring attorney James Stephen discovered just such a charade when he landed on the Island of Barbados in the British West Indies in 1783, at about the same time a trial was about to begin involving "... four plantation slaves charged with the murder of a white doctor. Several ... [of Stephen's acquaintances] 'strongly doubted the guilt of the prisoners'" The author explains that "... they thought the real murderer was a white man and that the trial was a cover-up. Many others on the island, black and white, felt the same way."

Two of the accused were denied counsel and "... the local newspaper reported ... that 'three [of the four] negroes' who evidently did not say what they were told to say 'were whipped' for giving false evidence.'" The outcome of this farcical travesty was predictable. "Convinced that the four accused slaves were innocent, Stephen was shocked to hear, soon after, that they had been sentenced to death -- by being burned alive." Hochschild adds that "this form of execution [was] a common one for blacks in the Caribbean" The real perpetrators of the white doctor's murder had the power to falsely accuse a slave and summarily execute him. He also points out that "An owner ... who killed a slave was subject only to a 15 pound fine." But that would have made the killers seem unjust (notwithstanding their paradoxical indifference to their involvement in the injustice of slavery). So to maintain the appearance of civility, they went through the motions of a show trial whose corrupt outcome had been secretly foreordained.

Another infamous example of this sort of juridical fraud is discussed in the book *Bonhoeffer*, by Eric Metaxas, published by Thomas Nelson (2010):

Hitler railed against the men who had done this to him: 'Destroy the conspirators!' Thus were the fates of Canaris, Oster, Sack, and Bonhoeffer sealed.

But to the last, Hitler would preserve the fiction of legality in the German state. The corpse of German jurisprudence must be exhumed to create the image of lawfulness. So the SS prosecutor Huppenkothen must go through his paces, must travel with his documents -- including Canaris'

incriminating diary -- all the way to Flossenburg to set up the ‘summary court-martial.’ He traveled there on April 7 [1945]. There also for the charade was Dr. Otto Thorbec, an SS judge. So that Saturday night, Canaris, Oster, Dr. Sack, Strunck, Gehre and Bonhoeffer would be tried and executed in the morning.

Mass murderers are often obsessively preoccupied with appearances. On September 12, 2012, Jill Stanek posted an article revealing attempts by a Ft. Pierce, FL, abortion clinic to conceal evidence of what may have been a botched abortion. “Sidewalk counselors noted that a post-abortive mother who had left the clinic and driven herself out of the parking lot (which I’m sure is a health code violation), drove herself back 20 minutes later.” Jill adds that “Walking up the ramp to the front door, she dropped her keys. When she bent over to pick them up, she hemorrhaged a large amount of blood, and then left a trail of blood behind her all the way to the door.”

The article includes photos of “lab tech” Arnold Guerrero, whom she reports: “... is married to mill owner (and convicted felon for cocaine possession, robbery and battery, and grand theft) Candace Dye, quickly trying to mop up the blood.” This pathetic scene recalls to mind Shakespeare’s guilt-ridden Lady Macbeth, obsessively rewashing imaginary blood from her hands and futilely commanding, “Out, damn’d spot!”

Please consider making a contribution of \$150 to fund one of the new Corporate Accountability Project (CAP) signs we are now displaying outside the businesses of Planned Parenthood corporate donors.

All over the world, abortionists and their co-conspirators are trying to hide the blood they are shedding. Marriott International, on our boycott target list for allowing their hotel owners and franchisees to donate corporate funds to Planned Parenthood, is furious at us for standing on sidewalks outside their hotels and showing guests the horror of the abortions they permit to be funded with Marriott money. They nonetheless refuse to ban these donations, despite having the contractual authority to do so. But their defiance is about to become considerably more costly. Marriott recently acquired the Grand Ole Opry hotel brand and management contract in Nashville, TN. We will begin by challenging entertainers who perform at this venue to suspend their appearances until Marriott International revises those policies which govern abortion-related corporate giving. We will also picket performances by musicians who refuse to participate in our boycott of the Grand Ole Opry. Pickets will involve the display of graphic abortion photos and solicitations of patrons we will attempt to persuade to sign petitions, write letters, and refuse to patronize the Grand Ole Opry until Marriott International gets its owners and franchisees out of the abortion business.

At the same time, we are finalizing plans to target Marriott-affiliated properties in Seattle, Portland, Phoenix, Kansas City, Columbus, and Orlando. Internationally, CBR affiliates will also begin picketing Marriott International-affiliated properties in London, Brussels, Amsterdam, Stockholm, Madrid, Warsaw, Prague, and Bucharest. Without your faithful support, none of our aggressive counter-attacks on the Culture of Death would be possible. Between now and election day, we will need your help more than ever.

Lord bless,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gregg Cunningham', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Gregg Cunningham
Executive Director